



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/080,571	02/25/2002	Edwin H. Adams	ADAE-002	8652	
759	90 02/16/2005	EXAMINER			
Howard N. Fla	xman	HOTALING, JOHN M			
Suite 112 2341 Jefferson I	Davis Hwy.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Arlington, VA		3713			
			DATE MAILED: 02/16/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/080,571		ADAMS, EDWIN H.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		John M Hot	alina II	3713				
The MAILING DAT	E of this communication app	1	-	1 . 1				
Period for Reply				•				
THE MAILING DATE OF - Extensions of time may be availa after SIX (6) MONTHS from the n - If the period for reply specified ab - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set or e	TORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THIS COMMUNICATION. ble under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 nailing date of this communication. ove is less than thirty (30) days, a reply above, the maximum statutory period w xtended period for reply will, by statute, ater than three months after the mailing See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no ever y within the statut vill apply and will , cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tin ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on 03 De	ecember 20	<u>04</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINA	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordan	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4a) Of the above class 5) Claim(s) is/a 6) Claim(s) 1,3-5,7,8,7 Claim(s) is/a	10 and 11 is/are rejected.	wn from con	sideration.					
Application Papers								
10) The drawing(s) filed Applicant may not red Replacement drawing	objected to by the Examine on <u>08 May 2002</u> is/are: a)[quest that any objection to the quest that any objection to the to sheet(s) including the correct tion is objected to by the Ex	accepted drawing(s) be tion is require	held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19							
12) Acknowledgment is a) All b) Some 1. Certified cop 2. Certified cop 3. Copies of the application fr	made of a claim for foreign	s have been s have been rity documen u (PCT Rule	received. received in Applications have been received 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National St	age			
Attachment(s)								
 Notice of References Cited (F Notice of Draftsperson's Pate 			4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
	nent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)			Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because of the minor informalities as listed in the PTO-948 attached hereto. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 3713

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-5, 7, 8, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meadows et al Patent Application Publication 2002/0082775 in view of Ross et al US Patent 5,859,628. Meadows discloses all of the instant application but does not specifically limit the points of data that a user can download into a GPS enabled PDA to the front of the hole greens and the middle of the Hole greens or specifically state the use of a cradle with memory. Meadows does disclose in figures 18-24 that the player can have a measurement to the middle and front of the hole greens along with other measurements (see also paragraphs 42-45). Meadows discloses multiple points that could be entered and viewed with relation to ball distance. With respect to having the data of the front and the middle of the hole greens it would be an obvious matter of choice well within the capabilities of one skilled in the art to choose measurement points and only include player or system desired points since the structure for doing so is known and taught in Meadows. With respect to the survey of the golf course by the player or anybody else please see paragraphs 49-51. With respect to data exchange please see paragraphs 189-191 where it is taught that information is wirelessly beamed to the PDA. It is well known that data and programs can be transferred to and from a PDA by the use of a cradle and as disclosed above wirelessly. This is also motivation to search for and find a specific cradle structure that contains a memory. In an analogous invention to Ross therein is disclosed a service providing method of transferring information from a cradle with a memory to a PDA where the information transferred offers a service to the user. In this case Column 6

lines 44-67 discloses that the preferred embodiment of the present invention is to provide predetermined data relating to services available at locations along a highway. Column 8 discloses an intelligent cradle. Regarding the selling of the golf course information to patrons in order to provide them with a service is inherent to the use of the information. The information could be provided free while being disguised as part of the fees for using the golf course or as membership dues to a country club. IT would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have a wireless PDA with golf points of interest thereon provided to a user by a PDA cradle with a memory that contains the points of interest. One would be motivated to find combine a cradle with a PDA in order to transfer information to and/or from the PDA.

Citation of Pertinent Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ross '961 and '947 disclose analogous information systems

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-5, 7, 8, 10, and 11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The new rejection has been made because of the applicant's assertion that the examiners position of official notice on a cradle with memory has been challenged.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M Hotaling II whose telephone number is (571) 272 4437. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xuan Thai can be reached on (571) 272 3507. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/080,571

Art Unit: 3713

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN M. HOTALING, II PRIMARY EXAMINER

ebruary 11, 2005